

NEUROMARKETING TECHNIQUES AND ETHICAL CHALLENGES: A NEUROECONOMIC PERSPECTIVE

Jelena KOSTOVSKI
Marija MLADENović
Olga MILOŠEVIĆ

Abstract: *This research paper explores the evolution and application of neuromarketing as an interdisciplinary field that merges neuroscience with marketing to decode consumer behavior through direct observation of neurological processes. By employing advanced techniques such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), electroencephalography (EEG), and eye-tracking, neuromarketing enables a deeper and more objective understanding of how consumers react to advertising, product design, and branding at a subconscious level. The findings reveal how neural responses correlate with emotional and cognitive factors influencing purchasing decisions, offering actionable insights for marketers to design more effective, personalized campaigns. In doing so, neuromarketing significantly advances marketing efficacy and consumer engagement. However, its increasing influence also raises critical ethical questions concerning consumer privacy, informed consent, and potential psychological manipulation. This paper provides a comprehensive overview of neuromarketing's foundational principles, technological methodologies, and real-world applications while critically addressing the ethical challenges inherent in neuroscience-driven marketing practices.*

Keywords: *neuromarketing, EEG, fMRI, subconscious decision-making, ethical implications.*

INTRODUCTION

In a time of intense competition and excessive information, comprehending the fundamental mechanisms of consumer behavior has become a strategic necessity. Conventional marketing techniques primarily reliant on self-reports, surveys, and focus groups frequently fail to accurately capture the genuine motives and subconscious influences underlying consumer decisions (Morin, 2011). Neuromarketing, an emerging interdisciplinary domain combining neuroscience, psychology, and marketing, addresses this constraint by utilizing brain-based instruments to reveal implicit customer reactions. Neuromarketing employs tools including electroencephalography (EEG), eye tracking (ET), and functional

magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to more precisely decode customers' emotional and cognitive responses to marketing stimulation (Plassmann et al., 2015).

This study seeks to examine the fundamental principles and methodology of neuromarketing, emphasizing its ability to enhance conventional marketing strategies. Particular emphasis is placed on the strategic application of neuroscientific instruments in commercial settings, the emotional and psychological stimuli influencing customer behavior, and the ethical ramifications of employing these methodologies. This study enhances academic comprehension of how corporations can utilize neuromarketing to develop more effective, socially responsible, and emotionally impactful marketing efforts.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Neuromarketing is grounded in the principle that consumers are often unaware of the true motivations influencing their purchasing decisions. Their behavior is largely shaped by unconscious emotional and cognitive mechanisms that remain inaccessible through conventional self-report methods (Karmarkar & Plassmann, 2019). Cognitive neuroscience supports this notion, indicating that up to 95% of human decision-making processes occur at a subconscious level (Zaltman, 2003; Venskè, 2024). As such, traditional marketing approaches, which rely heavily on verbalized consumer feedback, frequently produce skewed or incomplete data, necessitating more advanced, objective, and scientifically grounded methodologies (Kansra et al., 2022).

Neuromarketing responds to this gap by employing sophisticated neuroscientific tools most notably electroencephalography (EEG) and eye tracking (ET) to monitor real-time brain activity, attention, and emotional engagement. EEG measures electrical impulses in the brain and is particularly useful for assessing emotional involvement and memory recall in response to marketing stimuli (Crespo-Pereira et al., 2021; Vecchiato et al., 2011). Eye tracking complements EEG by capturing gaze paths and fixation points, thereby revealing which visual elements hold a consumer's attention (Casado-Aranda & Sánchez-Fernández, 2022; Wedel & Pieters, 2008). These methods are often supplemented by facial electromyography (fEMG) and galvanic skin response (GSR), which offer additional layers of insight into physiological arousal and emotional valence (Mileti et al., 2016).

This comprehensive methodology allows marketers to delve far deeper into the psychological architecture of decision-making. It facilitates the development of emotionally intelligent advertising and branding strategies that align more closely with consumers' subconscious preferences, enhancing engagement and recall (Crespo-Pereira et al., 2020). Neuromarketing thereby represents a significant evolution in marketing, shifting away from manipulative tactics and toward authenticity, empathy, and data-informed persuasion (Lindstrom, 2008).

The field is conceptually aligned with neuroeconomics, an interdisciplinary area that synthesizes neuroscience, psychology, and economics to analyze and

predict decision-making behavior (Glimcher & Fehr, 2014). This alignment enriches neuromarketing with theoretical rigor, offering tools to craft more resonant campaigns and product designs through the understanding of instinctive associations and emotional memory triggers. As a result, neuromarketing contributes not only to improved campaign effectiveness but also to deeper, more meaningful consumer-brand relationships (Mada, 2024; Bajaj et al., 2023).

The implementation of neuromarketing technologies is not without controversy. Ethical concerns have been raised regarding the potential for covert manipulation, the invasiveness of brain-imaging techniques, and the lack of transparency in data usage and consent protocols (Fisher et al., 2010; Austin et al., 2024; Alsharif et al., 2021). The ability to influence consumer behavior at a subconscious level invites scrutiny over autonomy and agency, particularly in vulnerable markets such as food, pharmaceuticals, and youth-targeted advertising (Murphy et al., 2008; Venskè, 2024). Scholars have stressed the urgent need for clear ethical oversight and regulatory frameworks to ensure the responsible and transparent use of these potent tools.

Neuromarketing represents a paradigm shift in consumer research and strategic marketing. It offers objective, neuroscience-based insights that transcend the limitations of traditional methodologies, allowing marketers to better understand, predict, and influence consumer behavior. At the same time, it demands a conscientious and ethically responsible approach, as its power to decode and shape decision-making processes carries profound implications for consumer trust, autonomy, and well-being. As the field continues to mature, the integration of ethical safeguards alongside scientific innovation will be paramount to ensuring neuromarketing's positive contribution to both business practices and consumer welfare.

APPLICATIONS OF NEUROMARKETING IN CONSUMER BEHAVIOR

Applications of neuromarketing have emerged as a groundbreaking component of modern marketing, leading to exceptional insights into the emotional and subconscious facets of consumer decision-making. Neuromarketing fundamentally redefines the methodology and understanding of advertising, product design, and consumer behavior by utilizing neurophysiological data that standard methodologies overlook.

A significant use of neuromarketing is the enhancement of advertising strategies. Utilizing technologies like EEG, fMRI, and eye tracking, marketers can assess immediate brain and physiological reactions to certain advertising stimuli, uncovering the subconscious factors influencing customer choices (Plassmann et al., 2015). These insights allow advertisers to customize ads that evoke emotional responses, improve memory retention, and elevate the probability of positive purchasing results.

Neuromarketing enhances the optimization of visual and narrative components in advertising such as facial expressions, color schemes, and message presentation ensuring that each piece is meticulously adjusted to elicit certain

emotional reactions (Morin, 2011). The integration of neuroscience with creative strategy enables organizations to create advertising material that informs and profoundly engages people on a subconscious level, ultimately enhancing overall campaign efficacy in competitive marketplaces.

In addition to advertising, neuromarketing significantly influences product design and packaging choices. Research utilizing neuroimaging methods has shown that package components such as color, shape, texture, and visual imagery elicit specific brain responses associated with emotional involvement and product choice (Reimann et al., 2010). Specific hues, such as red, blue, and yellow, have been demonstrated to stimulate distinct emotional and associative pathways in the brain, thereby affecting customer perception and purchase intent prior to any conscious assessment (Labrecque & Milne, 2013). By analyzing these subconscious responses, marketers can create product displays that correspond with the consumer's psychological anticipations and sensory inclinations, so improving aesthetic attractiveness and recall. Moreover, neuromarketing facilitates the preliminary assessment of new prototypes, enabling enterprises to forecast market success using integrated neural data and emotional metrics (Hubert et al., 2007). Nonetheless, although visual stimuli are crucial, contemporary research underscores the necessity of integrating multimodal components such as tactile and olfactory signals to enhance the neuromarketing strategy and encompass the entirety of consumer experience.

The primary contribution of neuromarketing is its capacity to clarify the mechanics underlying purchasing behavior. Through the integration of eye tracking and emotional reaction measures, researchers may delineate attention and engagement patterns that closely connect with customer decisions (Venkatraman et al., 2015). Eye-tracking metrics such as fixation time and gaze trajectories reveal which product attributes or advertisement segments attract attention, while simultaneous assessments of electrodermal activity and facial muscle contractions offer insights into emotional valence and arousal levels. These physiological responses frequently occur prior to conscious awareness and can more accurately forecast purchasing behavior than self-reported intentions. Logistic regression analyses of neuromarketing data have shown predictive accuracy beyond 60%, particularly in recognizing emotional anticipation as a key factor influencing consumer intent (Khushaba et al., 2013). This result indicates that neuromarketing elucidates consumer choices and significantly improves the forecasting accuracy of marketing models.

The utilization of neuromarketing in advertising, product creation, and customer analysis signifies a substantial advancement in marketing science. Neuromarketing reveals implicit emotional reactions and subconscious inclinations, offering a solid foundation for crafting emotionally intelligent campaigns and product designs. Nonetheless, the ethical application of these insights is crucial, necessitating ongoing research and the formulation of rules to safeguard consumer autonomy and data protection.

LEGAL AND ETHICAL DIMENSIONS OF NEUROMARKETING IN CONTEMPORARY MARKETING PRACTICES

Neuromarketing, the convergence of neuroscience and marketing, embodies a synthesis of technological advancement and social-legal accountability. The field has advanced much in understanding the subconscious mechanisms that affect customer behavior; however, it concurrently presents a complex array of ethical and legal challenges that test the traditional limits of marketing practices. The incorporation of sophisticated instruments like functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), electroencephalography (EEG), and biometrics provides unprecedented insight into human cognition; however, it simultaneously raises concerns regarding the validity of consumer autonomy and consent (Mada, 2024; Olteanu, 2015).

A primary issue in this field is the possibility of nuanced, yet significant, customer manipulation. By deciphering emotional triggers and neurological responses, marketers can develop stimuli intended to provoke conditioned reactions, so circumventing rational decision-making processes. This has raised concerns over "neuro-coercion," in which purchase decisions are influenced not by conscious deliberation but by neuroscientific manipulation (Isa et al., 2019; Javor et al., 2013). Such tactics may violate individual autonomy, converting customers into passive recipients of behaviorally manipulated communications. Critics compare this to breaching the principle of informed consent, a fundamental aspect of medical ethics and consumer protection (Likhter, 2020; Venské, 2024). Furthermore, the lack of stringent regulatory frameworks intensifies the issue. Neuromarketing technologies have progressed more rapidly than national and international legal frameworks can accommodate, resulting in a regulatory void susceptible to exploitation. Despite the establishment of ethical principles by organizations like the Neuromarketing Science and Business Association (NMSBA), these rules remain predominantly voluntary and lack mechanisms for enforcement (Ülman et al., 2014; Matúšová et al., 2023). In the absence of binding regulations, firms may utilize neurotechnologies in opaque, unregulated, and potentially detrimental ways for consumers.

The ethical issue is exacerbated by accidental findings—unanticipated discoveries in neuroimaging that may disclose mental health disorders or cognitive weaknesses. Researchers encounter varying norms regarding the publication of findings, from comprehensive transparency to total omission, underscoring the necessity for a common ethical framework (Bradfield, 2021; Olteanu, 2015). The management of neurodata—its storage, sharing, and interpretation—must be explicitly defined to avert misuse or privacy infringements.

Neuromarketing presents considerable problems to current data protection and privacy laws. Most legislative frameworks, including the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the EU, were not conceived with neurobiological data as a consideration. Neural data may be far more invasive than conventional data kinds, providing insights into personality characteristics, predispositions, and

emotional susceptibilities (Silva et al., 2025; Skriabin et al., 2021). The legal system must adapt to classify neurodata as a unique and sensitive category of personal information, necessitating heightened protection and more stringent disclosure requirements.

Consumer rights frameworks require reassessment to integrate neuromarketing approaches. The use of AI-integrated neuromarketing systems in digital settings, characterized by continuous monitoring of user behavior, has obscured the distinction between voluntary participation and subtle manipulation (Christensen et al., 2022; Mandal, 2021). Transparency agreements, permission standards, and audit methods should be broadened to encompass the particular hazards associated with neurotechnological applications.

Neuromarketing's capacity to uncover subconscious consumer behavior provides significant strategic benefits. Nevertheless, these benefits must not compromise ethical integrity or consumer rights. A dual strategy is essential: comprehensive ethical self-regulation across the industry, founded on openness and customer respect, must be paired with legislative change at the state level that redefines privacy, consent, and data protection concerning neurotechnology. As the discipline evolves, it is essential that neuromarketing foster innovation while upholding human dignity.

CHALLENGES AND PROSPECTS IN NEUROMARKETING RESEARCH

Neuromarketing is an interdisciplinary field that uses neuroscience, psychology, and marketing principles to understand and influence consumer behavior. It employs techniques like fMRI, EEG, and eye-tracking to analyze cognitive and emotional responses toward marketing stimuli. Neuromarketing focuses on the exploration of unconscious decision-making processes, with emotions and sensory stimuli being key factors that influence consumer preferences and purchasing behaviors. By understanding how consumers' brains respond to marketing stimuli, marketers can optimize their strategies to enhance brand engagement and immediate reactions.

Many techniques lack rigorous academic validation, raising questions about their reliability and effectiveness. Ethical dilemmas surrounding consumer autonomy, informed consent, and potential manipulation have surfaced, especially as neuromarketing techniques become more integrated into everyday marketing practices. Future research must address these issues and consider the socio-economic implications of neuromarketing approaches, particularly concerning privacy and potential coercive advertising practices.

Future research should aim to improve neuromarketing methods and use new technologies, look into the lasting impacts of neuromarketing campaigns, study how different groups of consumers react, and evaluate the ethical issues of using advanced tools to sway consumer choices. Researchers must develop transparent practices and maintain ethical standards to enhance the credibility and effectiveness of this innovative field.

In summary, neuromarketing offers exciting possibilities for enhancing marketing strategies and understanding consumer behavior on a deeper level, but it must navigate significant limitations and ethical concerns. The ongoing exploration of these challenges will shape the future trajectory of this field, ultimately determining its efficacy and place within the broader marketing landscape.

CONCLUSION

This research demonstrates the revolutionary potential of neuromarketing as a multidisciplinary approach that amalgamates neuroscience, psychology, and marketing to improve our understanding of consumer behavior. By employing advanced neuroscientific tools such as EEG, eye tracking, and fMRI, marketers can obtain precise and prompt insights into the subconscious and emotional influences on purchasing decisions, often surpassing the accuracy and reliability of traditional self-report methods.

The integration of biometric variables improves understanding, enabling more precise targeting in advertising strategies, product creation, and packaging methods. The empirical findings of the study demonstrate that neuromarketing enhances the effectiveness of marketing techniques and fosters a more empathetic and scientifically grounded approach to consumer engagement. However, while these technological developments offer significant possibilities, they also raise critical ethical problems that require scrutiny. The threat of privacy infringement, manipulation, and exploitation of psychological vulnerabilities raises considerable concerns, particularly because of the opaque nature of specific neuromarketing strategies. Regulatory frameworks such as GDPR provide specific protections; yet, shortcomings remain in ensuring transparency and consumer safety, especially as the field evolves rapidly.

The limitations of the current study include the methodological diversity of several neuromarketing techniques and the difficulties in generalizing results from controlled laboratory environments to actual consumer situations.

Future research should concentrate on formulating recognized ethical norms, promoting transparent industrial procedures, and analyzing long-term consumer perceptions of neuromarketing techniques. Interdisciplinary collaboration is crucial to align the financial advantages of neuromarketing with the ethical responsibility to protect consumer autonomy and privacy.

Although neuromarketing has significant prospects for the evolution of marketing science, it is imperative to prioritize its appropriate application to avert potential abuses and safeguard consumer rights. Continuous research and regulatory progress are essential for developing an ethical framework that fosters sustainable and dependable innovation in this rapidly evolving field.

REFERENCES

- Alsharif, A., Salleh, N., & Baharun, R. (2021). Neuromarketing: Marketing research in the new millennium. *Neuroscience Research Notes*, 4(3), 27–35. <https://doi.org/10.31117/neuroscirn.v4i3.79>
- Ariely, D., & Berns, G. S. (2010). Neuromarketing: the hope and hype of neuroimaging in business. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11(4), 284–292.
- Austin, E., O'Donnell, N., Rose, P., Edwards, Z., Sheftel, A., Domgaard, S., ... & Sutherland, A. (2024). Integrating science media literacy, motivational interviewing, and neuromarketing science to increase vaccine education confidence among U.S. extension professionals. *Vaccines*, 12(8), 869. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines12080869>
- Bajaj, R., Syed, A., & Singh, S. (2023). Analysing applications of neuromarketing in efficacy of programmatic advertising. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 23(2), 939–958. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cb.2249>
- Bradfield, O. (2021). Shining a light also casts a shadow: neuroimaging incidental findings in neuromarketing research. *Neuroethics*, 14(3), 459–465. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12152-021-09463-x>
- Casado-Aranda, L., & Sánchez-Fernández, J. (2022). Advances in neuroscience and marketing: Analyzing tool possibilities and research opportunities. *Spanish Journal of Marketing - ESIC*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/sjme-10-2021-0196>
- Christensen, J., Farahi, F., Vartanian, M., & Yazdi, S. (2022). Choice hygiene for “consumer neuroscientists”? ethical considerations and proposals for future endeavours. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2021.612639>
- Crespo-Pereira, V., Lago, B., & Arregui-McGullion, J. (2020). Implementing neuromarketing in the enterprise: Factors that impact the adoption of neuromarketing in major Spanish corporations. *Frontiers in Communication*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomm.2020.576789>
- Crespo-Pereira, V., Membiela-Pollán, M., & Sánchez-Amboage, E. (2021). Nostalgia, retro-marketing, and neuromarketing: An exploratory review. *Journal of Creative Industries and Cultural Studies*, 7, 106–126. <https://doi.org/10.56140/jocis-v7-5>
- Frederick, D. (2022). Recent trends in neuro marketing – an exploratory study. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business It and Education*, 38–60. <https://doi.org/10.47992/ijcsbe.2581.6942.0148>
- Gaafar, H., & Al-Romeedy, B. (2021). Neuromarketing as a novel method to tourism destination marketing: Evidence from Egypt. *Journal of Association of Arab Universities for Tourism and Hospitality*, 0(0), 0–0. <https://doi.org/10.21608/jaauth.2021.109864.1275>
- Glimcher, P. W., & Fehr, E. (Eds.). (2013). *Neuroeconomics: Decision making and the brain* (2nd ed.). Elsevier Academic Press.
- Harnessing neuromarketing techniques to enhance brand engagement. (2023). *Future Science Reports*, 4–37. <https://doi.org/10.57125/fs.2023.12.20.01>
- Hubert, M., & Kenning, P. (2008). A current overview of consumer neuroscience. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour: An International Research Review*, 7(4-5), 272–292.
- Isa, S., Mansor, A., & Razali, K. (2019). Ethics in neuromarketing and its implications on business to stay vigilant. *Kne Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v3i22.5082>
- Javor, A., Koller, M., Lee, N., Chamberlain, L., & Ransmayr, G. (2013). Neuromarketing and consumer neuroscience: contributions to neurology. *BMC Neurology*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2377-13-13>
- Kansra, P., Oberoi, S., Gupta, S., & Singh, N. (2022). Factors limiting the application of consumer neuroscience: A systematic review. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 23(1), 31–42. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cb.2131>
- Karmarkar, U. R., & Plassmann, H. (2019). Consumer neuroscience: Past, present, and future. *Organizational Research Methods*, 22(1), 174–195.

- Khushaba, R. N., Wise, C., Kodagoda, S., Louviere, J., Kahn, B. E., & Townsend, C. (2013). Consumer neuroscience: Assessing the brain response to marketing stimuli using electroencephalogram (EEG) and eye tracking. *Expert systems with applications*, 40(9), 3803-3812.
- Labrecque, L. I., Patrick, V. M., & Milne, G. R. (2013). The marketers' prismatic palette: A review of color research and future directions. *Psychology & Marketing*, 30(2), 187-202.
- Likhter, P. (2020). Neuromarketing and free will in civil law. *Rudn Journal of Law*, 24(3), 658-672. <https://doi.org/10.22363/2313-2337-2020-24-3-658-672>
- Lindstrom, M. (2008). *Brand sense: Sensory secrets behind the stuff we buy*. Simon and Schuster.
- Mada, Y. (2024). Role of neuromarketing in understanding consumer behavior: A literature overview. *International Journal of Innovative Engineering Research and Technology*, 11(8), 48–56. <https://doi.org/10.26662/ijiert.v11i8.pp48-56>
- Mandal, P. (2021). Public policy issues and technoethics in marketing research in the digital age. *International Journal of Technoethics*, 12(1), 75-86. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijt.2021010105>
- Matúšová, J., Kusá, A., & Pátik, L. (2023). Challenges and opportunities of ai in individual marketing communication tools., 259-268. <https://doi.org/10.34135/mmidentity-2023-26>
- Mileti, A., Guido, G., & Prete, M. (2016). Nanomarketing: A new frontier for neuromarketing. *Psychology and Marketing*, 33(8), 664–674. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.20907>
- Morin, C. Neuromarketing: The New Science of Consumer Behavior. *Soc* 48, 131–135 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12115-010-9408-1>
- Olteanu, M. (2015). Neuroethics and responsibility in conducting neuromarketing research. *Neuroethics*, 8(2), 191-202. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12152-014-9227-y>
- Plassmann, H., Venkatraman, V., Huettel, S., & Yoon, C. (2015). Consumer neuroscience: applications, challenges, and possible solutions. *Journal of marketing research*, 52(4), 427-435.
- Reimann, M., Schilke, O., Weber, B., Neuhaus, C., & Zaichkowsky, J. (2011). Functional magnetic resonance imaging in consumer research: A review and application. *Psychology & Marketing*, 28(6), 608-637.
- Silva, M., Espinoza, X., Ponce, S., & Tamayo, S. (2025). The impact of neuromarketing from an environmental perspective: strengths and opportunities to promote a sustainable society in the modern era. *jlsdgr*, 5(2), e05021. <https://doi.org/10.47172/2965-730x.sdgsreview.v5.n02.pe05021>
- Skriabin, O., Sanakoiev, D., Sanakoieva, N., Berezenko, V., & Liubchenko, Y. (2021). Neurotechnologies in the advertising industry: legal and ethical aspects. *Innovative Marketing*, 17(2), 189-201. [https://doi.org/10.21511/im.17\(2\).2021.17](https://doi.org/10.21511/im.17(2).2021.17)
- Sposini, L. (2024). Impact of new technologies on economic behavior and consumer freedom of choice: from neuromarketing to neuro-rights. *Journal of Digital Technologies and Law*, 2(1), 74-100. <https://doi.org/10.21202/jdtl.2024.5>
- Stanton, S. J., Sinnott-Armstrong, W., & Huettel, S. A. (2017). Neuromarketing: Ethical implications of its use and potential misuse. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 144, 799-811.
- Ülman, Y., Çakar, T., & Yıldız, G. (2014). Ethical issues in neuromarketing: “i consume, therefore i am!”. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 21(5), 1271-1284. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-014-9581-5>
- Vecchiato G., Astolfi L., Fallani F. D. V., Toppi J., Aloise F., Bez F., Wei D., Kong W., Dai J., Cincotti F., Mattia D., Babiloni F. (2011). On the use of EEG or MEG brain imaging tools in neuromarketing research. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2011(3), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/643489>
- Venkatraman, V., Dimoka, A., Pavlou, P. A., Vo, K., Hampton, W., Bollinger, B., ... & Winer, R. S. (2015). Predicting advertising success beyond traditional measures: New insights from neurophysiological methods and market response modeling. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 52(4), 436-452.

- Venskè, I. (2024). Neuromarketing: A tool to understand consumer behaviour. *Regional Formation and Development Studies*, 101–109. <https://doi.org/10.15181/rfds.v42i1.2605>
- Wedel, M., & Pieters, R. (2008). Eye tracking for visual marketing. *Foundations and Trends® in Marketing*, 1(4), 231-320.
- Zaltman, G. (2003). *How customers think: Essential insights into the mind of the market*. Harvard Business Press.

Notes on the authors

Jelena KOSTOVSKI, PhD student at the Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Belgrade Jevrejska Street no. 24, 11000 Belgrade, Phone: +381 64 1400059, E-mail: jpetrovic75@gmail.com Research field: management, education, banking.

Marija MLADENović, MSC., Teaching Assistant at the Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Belgrade Jevrejska Street no. 24, 11000 Belgrade, Phone: +381 692829991, E-mail: marija.mladenovic@mef.edu.rs Research field: education, digital platforms, MCDM, accounting, management

Olgica MILOŠEVIĆ, Ph.D., is an Assistant Professor at the Faculty of Applied Management, Economics and Finance, University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Belgrade Jevrejska Street no. 24, 11000 Belgrade, Phone: +381 641100492, E-mail: olgica.milosevic@mef.edu.rs Research field: civil and commercial law, environmental law and sustainability, human rights and constitutional law, digitalization and data protection, education.