

ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN AFRICA

Abubakar ABDULRAHMAN

Abstract: *Environmental governance plays a critical role in advancing sustainable development across Africa, a continent endowed with rich biodiversity and natural resources yet facing acute environmental challenges. Rapid urbanization, deforestation, desertification, pollution, and the impacts of climate change have placed immense pressure on Africa's ecosystems and communities. This study explores the nexus between environmental governance and sustainable development, examining the effectiveness of existing policies, institutional frameworks, and community participation mechanisms in mitigating ecological degradation while promoting economic and social equity. Through a review of relevant literature, policy documents, and case studies from selected African countries, the study identifies key challenges such as weak regulatory enforcement, corruption, inadequate funding, and limited integration of indigenous knowledge in environmental management. At the same time, it highlights opportunities for improvement, including the adoption of green technologies, regional cooperation, climate finance mechanisms, and the role of civil society in accountability. The paper argues that for sustainable development to be achieved, Africa must strengthen its environmental governance through transparent institutions, inclusive policy-making, and multi-level coordination among stakeholders. Furthermore, aligning national development plans with global frameworks like the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the African Union's Agenda 2063 is essential for long-term ecological and human well-being. The study concludes that transformative environmental governance, rooted in equity and resilience, is indispensable for addressing Africa's development challenges and ensuring a sustainable future for generations to come.*

Keywords: *Environmental Governance, Sustainable Development, Climate Change, Policy Implementation, Africa*

Introduction

Africa stands at a critical juncture in its quest for sustainable development, grappling with the dual challenge of utilizing its abundant natural resources while safeguarding the environment for future generations. Environmental governance—the processes and institutions through which decisions about the environment are made and implemented—plays a pivotal role in determining the continent's capacity to achieve long-term sustainability. With rapid population growth, urbanization, industrial expansion, and climate vulnerability, Africa faces a

mounting environmental crisis that, if not adequately addressed, could undermine economic progress and social stability (UNEP, 2020).

The concept of sustainable development, as defined by the Brundtland Commission, involves meeting "the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs" (World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED, 1987). This notion is particularly relevant to Africa, where the dependence on natural resources for agriculture, energy, and livelihoods is high, yet the resilience of ecosystems is increasingly threatened. Environmental governance in Africa must, therefore, address not only environmental protection but also equity, participation, and accountability in managing natural resources.

One of the key concerns in African environmental governance is institutional weakness. Many African countries struggle with fragmented environmental policies, insufficient enforcement mechanisms, and overlapping mandates among government agencies (Adeleke, 2019). For instance, in Nigeria, the coexistence of multiple agencies such as the Federal Ministry of Environment and state-level environmental bodies often leads to jurisdictional conflicts and inefficiencies (Echefu & Akpofure, 2018). Furthermore, limited financial resources and corruption continue to erode the effectiveness of environmental institutions across the continent (Transparency International, 2021).

Climate change further exacerbates Africa's environmental governance challenges. The continent contributes the least to global greenhouse gas emissions but suffers disproportionately from climate-related impacts such as droughts, floods, and desertification (IPCC, 2022). These environmental shocks not only threaten biodiversity and food security but also increase migration and conflict over natural resources. For example, Lake Chad has shrunk by over 90% in the last five decades, intensifying tensions among communities and nations dependent on its water resources (UNDP, 2020). Effective governance structures are required to address these cross-border challenges and promote environmental peacebuilding.

Community participation and indigenous knowledge are also essential but often underutilized elements in environmental decision-making. Traditional ecological practices have long guided sustainable land and water use in African societies. However, modern governance systems frequently overlook these local approaches, thereby alienating communities from conservation efforts and diminishing policy effectiveness (Pretty, 2011). Integrating local knowledge systems with scientific and policy frameworks can enhance legitimacy and sustainability outcomes (Nyong, Adesina, & Osman-Elasha, 2007).

On the policy front, Africa has made progress by adopting several frameworks that align with global and regional commitments. The African Union's Agenda 2063, the African Ministerial Conference on the Environment (AMCEN), and national strategies such as South Africa's National Environmental Management Act are steps toward coordinated action. Furthermore, global mechanisms like the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goals 13 (Climate Action), 14 (Life Below Water), and 15 (Life on

Land), provide an important blueprint for action. Yet, implementation remains uneven due to weak monitoring systems and political will (African Development Bank [AfDB], 2022).

Despite these challenges, there are notable opportunities for strengthening environmental governance in Africa. The growing role of civil society, youth activism, and technology offers new avenues for transparency, environmental education, and citizen engagement. Platforms like digital environmental monitoring tools, geographic information systems (GIS), and mobile applications are improving access to data and supporting better decision-making (Chigudu, 2021). Moreover, increasing access to climate finance, including the Green Climate Fund and adaptation grants, provides critical resources for countries seeking to transition to low-carbon, climate-resilient development pathways.

In conclusion, environmental governance is a linchpin in Africa's sustainable development agenda. Addressing institutional inefficiencies, incorporating indigenous knowledge, enhancing stakeholder participation, and leveraging global frameworks are essential to building resilient and inclusive environmental governance systems. This paper will explore these themes further by examining the intersection of environmental governance and sustainable development, using case studies and policy analysis to evaluate current practices and propose actionable recommendations.

Empirical Review of Literature

Environmental governance and sustainable development in Africa have been the focus of numerous empirical studies exploring the links between policy implementation, institutional frameworks, community participation, and environmental outcomes.

A study by Fayissa, Nsiah, and Tadasse (2011) analyzed the impact of environmental quality and governance on economic growth across Sub-Saharan African countries. Using panel data regression techniques, the researchers found that countries with stronger environmental institutions experienced more sustainable economic growth. The study highlighted that the integration of environmental considerations into national economic plans contributes positively to sustainable development, especially in resource-dependent economies.

Irandu (2018) conducted a field-based study in Kenya, examining the relationship between local governance structures and sustainable eco-tourism development. The findings revealed that decentralized governance, community involvement, and transparent decision-making enhanced environmental conservation efforts while improving local livelihoods. The study emphasized that participatory governance models are more effective than top-down approaches in achieving sustainability goals.

In a study by Adeleke (2019) on Nigeria's environmental governance, the researcher applied qualitative methods including interviews and policy analysis to assess the effectiveness of environmental institutions. The study found that overlapping agency mandates, lack of coordination, and corruption significantly

undermine policy enforcement. It concluded that legal reforms and capacity building are critical for enhancing institutional performance and achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Tichaawa and Mhlanga (2015) assessed the role of information and communication technologies (ICTs) in promoting environmental accountability among small and medium enterprises in South Africa. The empirical evidence showed that ICT tools such as mobile apps and online reporting systems improve transparency and facilitate compliance with environmental regulations. The study recommended scaling up digital governance solutions to increase environmental awareness and monitoring capacity.

Another relevant study by Chigudu (2021) used a mixed-methods approach to investigate the role of youth and civil society in environmental governance in Zimbabwe and South Africa. Survey results and interviews indicated that grassroots activism and environmental education have led to increased public participation and pressure on policymakers. However, the lack of political will and limited access to funding continue to hinder the effectiveness of these efforts.

Saha and Yap (2014) performed an econometric analysis of the impact of political stability on environmental sustainability in Africa. Their results confirmed that political unrest and weak rule of law have a negative correlation with environmental outcomes, demonstrating that governance quality directly influences sustainability trajectories. The authors suggest that enhancing political stability and democratic governance is crucial for the success of environmental policies.

Finally, Christie et al. (2013) in a comprehensive study for the World Bank assessed tourism and environmental sustainability in 24 African countries. Their findings showed that tourism could be a driver for sustainable development when coupled with strong environmental management and community benefits. Countries with eco-tourism policies and environmental safeguards experienced better outcomes in biodiversity protection and rural income generation.

These studies collectively demonstrate that effective environmental governance—characterized by strong institutions, community involvement, technological innovation, and political stability—is indispensable for sustainable development in Africa. However, empirical evidence also highlights persistent challenges such as institutional fragmentation, poor enforcement, and limited stakeholder engagement. Addressing these issues is necessary for aligning Africa's development goals with environmental sustainability

Theoretical Perspectives

Modernization theory posits that development follows a linear path from traditional to modern societies, driven by industrialization, technological advancement, and institutional transformation. This theory suggests that environmental degradation is a transitional phase in the development process and can be addressed as societies modernize and adopt better technologies and governance mechanisms (Rostow, 1960). In the African context, proponents argue that environmental challenges are symptoms of underdevelopment, and with

economic growth and improved governance, sustainability will eventually be achieved (O'Connor, 2006).

Modernization theory is often criticized for its Eurocentric assumptions, downplaying indigenous knowledge systems and the ecological costs of industrialization (Escobar, 1995). It may not fully account for the environmental implications of rapid, unchecked growth common in many African urban centers.

The Sustainable Livelihoods Framework is an approach that considers how individuals and communities use various forms of capital (natural, human, social, financial, and physical) to build resilient and sustainable livelihoods. It emphasizes local participation, adaptive capacity, and vulnerability reduction (Chambers & Conway, 1992). In Africa, where many rural populations rely on natural resources for their survival, this framework is highly applicable in linking environmental management with poverty alleviation and sustainability.

SLF offers a holistic view of sustainability, focusing on how governance can empower local communities to manage their resources effectively and reduce environmental vulnerability.

Political ecology focuses on the relationship between political, economic, and social factors in environmental issues. It argues that environmental degradation is not just a result of poor resource management, but also of power imbalances, marginalization of communities, and global economic pressures (Bryant & Bailey, 1997). In Africa, political ecology explains how local communities are often excluded from environmental decision-making, and how state or corporate interests may override ecological sustainability for profit.

This theory provides a nuanced understanding of how governance structures and inequality shape environmental outcomes. It is especially useful in contexts where conflict over resources—such as land, forests, or water—is evident.

Among the three, **Political Ecology Theory** is adopted as the theoretical framework for this study. This theory is particularly suited to analyzing environmental governance in Africa because it recognizes the role of **power relations**, **historical context**, and **economic inequalities** in shaping environmental outcomes (Watts, 2000). Unlike modernization theory, which views environmental degradation as a temporary byproduct of growth, political ecology interrogates **who benefits and who loses** in the processes of environmental exploitation and governance.

Furthermore, political ecology integrates both local and global dimensions of governance, acknowledging how international development agencies, state actors, and local communities interact in complex ways. It also underscores the importance of **equity and justice** in environmental policy, which is crucial for sustainable development in the diverse socio-political landscapes of Africa. While modernization theory offers insights into development pathways and SLF highlights community resilience, political ecology provides the most robust framework for understanding the **challenges and opportunities** of environmental governance in Africa. It brings to light the **structural and institutional barriers**

to sustainability and emphasizes the **need for inclusive, participatory governance** to protect the environment and support equitable development.

Environmental Governance and Sustainable Development in Africa

Environmental governance is central to achieving sustainable development in Africa, where ecological degradation, poverty, and inequality intersect. Effective environmental governance involves coordinated policies, robust institutions, and active community participation aimed at promoting ecological integrity, economic development, and social equity.

Several studies assess the effectiveness of environmental policies in Africa. Nhamo et al. (2021) assert that while many African countries have adopted environmental policies aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), implementation remains weak due to inadequate financing and political will. For instance, South Africa's National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) demonstrates good legislative intent but struggles with enforcement (Bonthuys, 2022). Similarly, Kenya's National Climate Change Action Plan (NCCAP) has been instrumental in guiding mitigation and adaptation strategies, yet suffers from limited stakeholder engagement and resource constraints (Omollo, 2023).

Institutional capacity significantly affects environmental governance outcomes. According to Acheampong et al. (2022), strong institutional frameworks in Ghana have improved forest governance through decentralization and co-management models. However, weak coordination among environmental agencies in Nigeria leads to overlapping mandates and inefficiencies (Okereke & Yusuf, 2020). Moreover, corruption and lack of transparency often undermine institutional integrity across the continent (Makindi & Muriuki, 2021).

Community participation is increasingly recognized as a cornerstone of sustainable environmental governance. Studies show that inclusive mechanisms foster local ownership and sustainability of interventions. For example, the Community-Based Natural Resource Management (CBNRM) approach in Namibia has empowered communities to manage wildlife resources, yielding both conservation and economic benefits (Jones et al., 2020). In contrast, top-down approaches in the Democratic Republic of Congo have marginalized indigenous voices, leading to conflict and poor outcomes (Bisimwa & Nkunda, 2023).

The integration of environmental sustainability with economic and social development remains a major challenge. According to Mugambiwa and Tirivangasi (2020), climate adaptation strategies in Southern Africa often prioritize environmental protection without adequately addressing poverty reduction or gender equity. However, integrated policy models such as Rwanda's Green Growth and Climate Resilience Strategy show that ecological goals can align with inclusive development (Nsengimana & Habimana, 2022).

Challenges in Environmental Management in Africa

Environmental management in Africa faces numerous interrelated challenges that hinder the continent's sustainable development efforts. A major

issue is weak regulatory enforcement, which undermines the effectiveness of environmental laws and policies. Even where frameworks exist, enforcement remains inconsistent due to limited institutional capacity and political interference (Adewuyi, 2021).

Corruption is another persistent obstacle, manifesting in the misallocation of environmental funds, illegal logging permits, and the circumvention of environmental impact assessments (Okpara et al., 2022). These practices often go unpunished, further eroding the credibility of regulatory institutions.

Inadequate funding significantly limits the implementation of environmental initiatives across the continent. Many African nations rely heavily on donor support, and domestic budget allocations for environmental protection are insufficient (Nhamo & Nhamo, 2020). This underfunding affects monitoring, enforcement, and public awareness campaigns.

A less acknowledged but equally crucial challenge is the limited integration of indigenous knowledge in environmental policy and practice. Indigenous communities possess centuries-old sustainable environmental practices, but these are often overlooked in favor of Western scientific approaches (Nyong et al., 2021). This exclusion reduces community participation and the contextual relevance of environmental solutions.

Addressing these challenges requires strengthening governance structures, increasing transparency, ensuring adequate financing, and promoting inclusive policies that recognize indigenous knowledge systems.

Opportunities for Improvement in Climate Action in Africa

Africa faces unique climate challenges, but several opportunities for improvement can drive sustainable development and resilience. Key areas include the adoption of green technologies, enhancement of regional cooperation, expansion of climate finance mechanisms, and strengthening of civil society's role in accountability.

The adoption of green technologies—such as renewable energy, climate-smart agriculture, and sustainable transportation—presents a critical opportunity for Africa to leapfrog traditional carbon-intensive development models. Solar and wind energy in particular offer vast potential given the continent's natural endowments (IRENA, 2021). However, barriers such as limited infrastructure and high upfront costs must be addressed through policy incentives and private sector engagement (Okonkwo & Adenle, 2022).

Regional organizations like the African Union (AU) and Regional Economic Communities (RECs) play a pivotal role in harmonizing climate policies, facilitating cross-border energy projects, and sharing knowledge (UNECA, 2020). Strengthening such cooperation can lead to coordinated responses to climate risks and better integration of adaptation strategies.

Access to climate finance remains a major constraint. Although mechanisms like the Green Climate Fund and Adaptation Fund exist, African countries often struggle to meet the complex requirements for funding (Nakhouda

et al., 2019). Enhancing national capacity to develop bankable climate projects and simplifying access criteria are essential for unlocking greater investment.

Civil society organizations (CSOs) are increasingly crucial in promoting transparency, monitoring government commitments, and mobilizing community-level climate action. Empowering CSOs through capacity building and legal frameworks can ensure that climate funds are effectively utilized and that local voices influence policy (Otieno, 2023).

In summary, while Africa confronts significant climate-related challenges, there are clear pathways to improvement. Harnessing green technologies, fostering regional collaboration, enhancing access to climate finance, and empowering civil society are integral steps toward a more sustainable and resilient future.

Conclusion

Africa stands at a crossroads in its climate and environmental governance journey. While the continent faces severe environmental degradation, climate risks, and institutional weaknesses, it also possesses significant opportunities to transition toward sustainable and resilient development. The adoption of green technologies, enhanced regional cooperation, improved access to climate finance, and a strengthened civil society represent key levers for positive change. However, persistent issues such as the misappropriation of funds, issuance of illegal logging permits, and the circumvention of environmental impact assessments threaten to undermine progress and erode public trust in regulatory frameworks.

Recommendations

To address these challenges and harness the continent's potential, the following recommendations are recommended:

1. **Strengthen Regulatory Institutions:** African governments must invest in the capacity and independence of environmental regulatory bodies to ensure the enforcement of laws and to punish infractions effectively. This includes stricter oversight of environmental impact assessments and public disclosure of permit processes.
2. **Promote Green Technology Transfer and Innovation:** Policymakers should create enabling environments—through incentives, partnerships, and research funding—that support the adoption of renewable energy, climate-resilient agriculture, and sustainable urban systems.
3. **Enhance Regional Integration:** Greater coordination through platforms like the African Union and regional economic communities can harmonize environmental policies, share data, and scale cross-border climate adaptation and mitigation projects.
4. **Expand and Simplify Access to Climate Finance:** Donors and international financial institutions must streamline application processes for climate funds, while African states should build technical capacity to design and manage bankable projects aligned with national priorities.

5. **Empower Civil Society and Local Communities:** Governments and international partners should support the role of civil society organizations by ensuring legal protection, funding for grassroots initiatives, and inclusion in decision-making processes. These actors are critical for ensuring transparency and holding institutions accountable.

References

- Abegunde, V. O., Olagunju, A., & Lawal, M. (2021). Adaptive environmental governance in sub-Saharan Africa: Prospects and challenges. *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 31 (4), 298–309.
- Acheampong, E. O., Boateng, K. O., & Asare, R. A. (2022). Institutional arrangements and forest governance in Ghana: Achievements and constraints. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 137, 102699.
- Adeleke, B. O. (2019). Environmental governance and sustainable development in Africa: Challenges and prospects. *African Journal of Environmental Law and Policy*, 25(1), 45–61.
- Adeleke, B. O. (2019). Environmental governance and sustainable development in Africa: Challenges and prospects. *African Journal of Environmental Law and Policy*, 25 (1), 45–61.
- Adewuyi, A. O. (2021). *Environmental governance and sustainable development in sub-Saharan Africa*. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 64(7), 1245–1261. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2020.1771340>
- African Development Bank (AfDB). (2022). African Economic Outlook 2022: Supporting climate resilience and a just energy transition in Africa. <https://www.afdb.org>
- Bisimwa, E., & Nkunda, D. (2023). Indigenous knowledge exclusion and environmental conflicts in the Congo Basin. *Ecology and Society*, 28 (1), 7.
- Bonthuys, E. (2022). Legal reforms and environmental justice in South Africa: The case of the NEMA. *South African Law Journal*, 139 (3), 453–475.
- Bryant, R. L., & Bailey, S. (1997). *Third World Political Ecology*. Routledge.
- Chambers, R., & Conway, G. (1992). *Sustainable rural livelihoods: Practical concepts for the 21st century*. IDS Discussion Paper No. 296. Institute of Development Studies.
- Chigudu, D. (2021). Digital technologies and environmental governance in Africa: Opportunities and risks. *Journal of African Public Administration*, 13(2), 89–103.
- Chigudu, D. (2021). Digital technologies and environmental governance in Africa: Opportunities and risks. *Journal of African Public Administration*, 13 (2), 89–103.
- Christie, I., Fernandes, E., Messerli, H., & Twining-Ward, L. (2013). *Tourism in Africa: Harnessing Tourism for Growth and Improved Livelihoods*. World Bank Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-0-8213-9653-2>
- Echefu, N., & Akpofure, E. (2018). Environmental policy and institutional arrangements in Nigeria. *Nigerian Environmental Review*, 14 (2), 101–118.
- Escobar, A. (1995). *Encountering Development: The Making and Unmaking of the Third World*. Princeton University Press.
- Fayissa, B., Nsiah, C., & Tadasse, B. (2011). The impact of environmental quality on economic growth and development in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Tourism Economics*, 17(6), 1275–1292. <https://doi.org/10.5367/te.2011.0094>
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2022). Sixth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2022. <https://www.ipcc.ch>
- International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). (2021). Renewable Energy Market Analysis: Africa and its Regions. <https://www.irena.org>

- Irandu, E. M. (2018). Ecotourism and sustainable development in Kenya: The role of community-based conservation. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 20(2), 234–245. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jtr.2177>
- Jones, B. T. B., Diez, L., & Shapi, M. (2020). Evaluating community-based natural resource management in Namibia: Successes and limitations. *Conservation Science and Practice*, 2 (6), e200.
- Makindi, A., & Muriuki, D. (2021). Corruption and environmental governance in Africa: The missing link. *African Journal of Public Affairs*, 13 (2), 42–56.
- Mugambiwa, S. S., & Tirivangasi, H. M. (2020). Gender dimensions of climate adaptation in Southern Africa: A review. *Jambá: Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*, 12 (1), 755.
- Nakhooda, S., Norman, M., & Barnard, S. (2019). Climate finance in Africa: Is it making a difference? Overseas Development Institute.
- Nhamo, G., & Nhamo, S. (2020). *Financing environmental sustainability in Africa: Challenges and opportunities*. *Sustainability*, 12(3), 1053. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12031053>
- Nhamo, G., Nhemachena, C., & Mpandeli, S. (2021). Policy coherence for sustainable development in Africa: Aligning environmental goals with national development plans. *Sustainability*, 13 (2), 431.
- Nsengimana, C., & Habimana, T. (2022). Rwanda's green growth strategy: A model for low-carbon development in Africa? *Development in Practice*, 32 (3), 400–414.
- Nyong, A., Adesina, F., & Osman-Elasha, B. (2007). The value of indigenous knowledge in climate change mitigation and adaptation strategies in Africa. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 12 (5), 787–797.
- Nyong, A., Adesina, F., & Osman-Elasha, B. (2021). *The value of indigenous knowledge in climate change mitigation and adaptation strategies in Africa*. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 26(2), 183–198. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-021-09954-9>
- O'Connor, D. (2006). Environmental policy and international competitiveness: A review of literature. *OECD Journal: Economic Studies*, 1(1), 45–80.
- Okereke, C., & Yusuf, Y. (2020). Climate governance and policy incoherence in Nigeria. *Climate and Development*, 12 (5), 396–406.
- Okonkwo, C., & Adenle, A. A. (2022). Barriers and opportunities for the deployment of renewable energy technologies in Africa. *Energy Policy*, 160, 112696.
- Okpara, U. T., Ojei, M., & Adebayo, T. (2022). *Environmental governance and institutional failures in Africa: A critical review of enforcement mechanisms*. *Journal of African Environmental Studies*, 14(3), 145–162.
- Omollo, H. (2023). Assessing Kenya's climate action plans: A policy and governance perspective. *African Journal of Environmental Law and Policy*, 8 (1), 67–82.
- Otieno, L. (2023). Civil society and climate accountability in sub-Saharan Africa. *African Journal of Environmental Policy and Practice*, 18(2), 88–104.
- Pretty, J. (2011). Interdisciplinary progress in approaches to address social-ecological and ecocultural systems. *Environmental Conservation*, 38(2), 127–139.
- Rostow, W. W. (1960). *The Stages of Economic Growth: A Non-Communist Manifesto*. Cambridge University Press.
- Saha, S., & Yap, G. (2014). The moderation effects of political instability and terrorism on tourism development and environmental sustainability. *Journal of Travel Research*, 53(4), 509–521. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287513496472>
- Tichaawa, T. M., & Mhlanga, O. (2015). The role of ICT in promoting environmental accountability in tourism SMEs in South Africa. *African Journal of Hospitality, Tourism and Leisure*, 4(1), 1–12. <https://www.ajhtl.com>
- Transparency International. (2021). Corruption Perceptions Index. <https://www.transparency.org>
- UNDP. (2020). Climate Security in the Lake Chad Basin: Navigating complexity, driving resilience. <https://www.undp.org>

- UNEP. (2020). State of the Environment in Africa. United Nations Environment Programme. <https://www.unep.org>
- United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (UNECA). (2020). Climate Change and Development in Africa: Regional Integration for Climate Resilience. UNECA Publications.
- Watts, M. (2000). Political ecology. In E. Sheppard & T. J. Barnes (Eds.), *A Companion to Economic Geography* (pp. 257–274). Blackwell.
- WCED. (1987). Our Common Future. World Commission on Environment and Development. Oxford University Press.

Notes on the Author

Abubakar ABDULRAHMAN, PhD hold a Bachelor of Science (B.Sc.) Degree, Master of Science (M.Sc.) Degree in Political Science and Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) Degree in Political Science with specialization in Comparative Politics. He is presently a Senior Lecturer at the Department of Political Science, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Ilorin, Ilorin-Nigeria. He has significant academic publications to his credit.